

LEGITIMACY AND THE STATE CAPTURE COMMISSION: This exercise primarily seeks to focus public attention on our “Letter in Response” dated 20 January 2021 and addressed to SCC Secretary, Itumeleng Mosala. However, in order to emphasise our allegations outlined in the said “Letter in Response”, we begin by drawing attention to the content of two earlier letters. **Please follow the timeline very carefully.**

The first letter dated 09 October 2019 is addressed to Mr Raymond Zondo (DCJ) and Chairman of the SCC. The second letter dated 03 November 2019 is addressed to Advocate Lamprecht SC, one among others in the legal team of investigators.

Related to these three letters are two applications, seeking to be heard at the SCC. These are dated as far back as July 2018 and February 2019. Said applications, filed under oath, lend weight to our allegation that the SCC, wilfully and unlawfully, refuses to hear evidence that implicates the South African Judiciary and, for the present moment, at least one member of the investigative team, namely Advocate IV Maleka SC. The titles of these two applications, as they appear on the very first page, adequately support our allegations of **DEFINITIVE State Capture**, as shown in our letter addressed directly to Chairman Zondo (DCJ) on 09 October 2019.

APPLICATION DATED JULY 2018:

THE DEMOCRATIC STATE: POST 1994
EXECUTIVE, LEGISLATURE & JUDICIARY
Captured by White Supremacist Criminals
and

APPLICATION DATED FEBRUARY 2019

SUBJECT: TWO APPLICATIONS

1. Calling for Recusal of Advocate IV Maleka SC
2. To Testify on Capture of the Democratic State

CONCLUSION

The telephone call from Advocate Lamprecht SC, sixteen months after July 2018, suggests that, bar the serious implications in our request for the recusal of Advocate IV Maleka SC, *we will be invited to be heard, with our evidence, at the SCC.*

We implicate sixteen organs of state. However, given the obligations that flow from the structure of our constitutional democracy, it is the Judiciary, that must be cast as accused number one. The unchallenged evidence and facts point to the ineluctable conclusion that both the Judiciary, and The Judicial Services Commission, in full knowledge of JSC Complaint 489/2016, cannot prove the legal existence of "THE SOUTH AFRICAN APARTHEID MUSEUM AT FREEDOM PARK" **UNIQUE** Registration: 2001/019108/08. Purported incorporation date: 14 August 2001.

Chief Rabbi Goldstein holds a PhD. in Human Rights and Constitutional Law. Not even Rabbi Goldstone, on behalf of the Jews in South Africa, disputes the non-existence of this eight-word fraud "***initiated by Solly and Abe Krok***" two highly regarded members of the Jewish Community.

The one thousand two hundred (1,200) Officers of the Court, members of the Johannesburg Society of Advocates, who ensured that Advocate Keightley secured her position on the bench, in our third sphere of government, could not dispute the protected rights of registered trademarks under the Companies Act 61 of 1973.

Notwithstanding the obligatory international agreements in the Financial Intelligence Centre Act, NEDBANK/OLD MUTUAL Edward Nathan Friedland Pty Ltd; Edward Nathan Sonnenbergs; Werksmans Attorneys; Knowles Hussain Lyndsay Inc. and Friedland Hart & Partners, represented a NON-EXISTENT client in all three superior courts for twenty years, without so much as a single question from the Judiciary.

On 18 January 2021 Advocate Paul Pretorius SC responded thus: *“the issues raised fall beyond the Terms of reference of the Commission.”* This IMMEDIATE reply which is offered only because of our enquiry on 18 January 2021, and **two and a half years** after our first application, frankly, does not add up, nor is it in accordance with our constitutionally guaranteed rights.

“Every action and or conduct of a civil servant is determined by legal prescript. The action of a civil servant in the course of her/his duty will be free of fraud and/or maladministration when said action/conduct can be supported by reference to legal prescript.”

The sixteen implicated organs of state, ***and especially the South African Judiciary,*** are bound by legal prescript that is clearly articulated in the Companies Act 61 of 1973 AND supported by judicial precedent. Our allegation is that the three spheres of government worked in collusion in what constitutes the ***one and only*** case of **DEFINITIVE STATE CAPTURE**. The legitimacy and legality of this State Capture Commission, we allege, is held by these undisputable facts and the constitution.

RUNNING ORDER OF ATTACHED LETTERS OF EVIDENCE:

1. Letter to Chairman Raymond Zondo – 09 October 2019.
2. Letter to Advocate Andre Lamprecht SC – 03 November 2019.
3. Letter in Response to Secretary Itumeleng Mosala – 20 January 2021.